Impacts of Catch and Release Angling on Anadromous Salmonids

Ian Courter, Benjamin Briscoe, Mark Roes, Tara Blackman, Sean Gibbs, Katie Kennedy, Thomas Buehrens

Funding: PSMFC, Stephen Phillips













Fisheries Research 268 (2023) 106848

	Contents lists available at ScienceDirect	Fisheries
	Fisheries Research	Research
LSEVIER	journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fishres	

Full length article

Influence of angling methods and terminal tackle on survival of salmon and steelhead caught and released in the Cowlitz River, Washington

Ian I. Courter^{a,*,1}, Thomas Buehrens^{b,1}, Mark Roes^a, Tara E. Blackman^a, Benjamin Briscoe^a, Sean Gibbs^{a,2}

ABSTRACT

^a Mount Hood Environmental, PO Box 744, Boring, OR 97009, USA ^b Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, 1111 Washington St. SE, Olympia, WA 98501, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Handled by A.E. Punt

Keywords: Catch and Release Mark-Selective Angling Salmon Steelhead Survival Hooking Mortality

Efforts to recover depressed stocks of salmon and steelhead trout in North America include implementation of mark-selective recreational fisheries, whereby anglers are allowed to harvest hatchery-origin fish but must release natural-origin fish. Catch and release angling (C&R) is generally thought to be an effective tool for conservation relative to traditional retention fisheries due to high survival of released adult salmon and steelhead in freshwater. Studies designed to estimate C&R mortality have produced highly variable results among species and size classes of fish, gear types, and environmental conditions. Therefore, crude approximations of C&R mortality are commonly used to quantify impacts to natural-origin salmon and steelhead. In addition, managers often restrict use of certain angling methods and terminal tackle that are assumed to result in higher mortality, leading to a multiplicity of different regulatory requirements with limited empirical support. We conducted a novel three-year mark-recapture study in the Cowlitz River, Washington to estimate effects of a variety of factors hypothesized to influence salmon and steelhead C&R survival using a control-treatment design. Three species of anadromous salmonids were captured and released as treatments using various angling techniques and terminal tackle. Fight time, handling time, and water temperature were recorded during each capture event. Non-angled fish were captured in a trap and released back into the fishery to serve as controls. Recovery rates of Coho Salmon differed less than a percent between angled and non-angled fish across multiple gear types, indicating negligible effects of C&R. Angled Spring Chinook Salmon experienced 3.6-10.2 % C&R mortality relative to non-angled control fish, depending on terminal tackle. Barbless hooks were associated with higher survival than barbed hooks for both Chinook and Coho Salmon, although differences were small for Chinook and negligible for Coho. In contrast, steelhead trout angled on barbed hooks were recovered at slightly higher rates than those caught on barbless hooks. We also found evidence for a reduction in landing rates when angling using barbless hooks. Finally, use of bait increased the probability that salmon would be hooked in a critical location such as the esophagus or stomach. Our findings are useful for assessing trade-offs between conservation measures and harvest opportunity when defining fishing regulations in mark-selective salmon and steelhead fisheries.









Species	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY
Spring Chinook												
Fall Chinook	l Chinook											
Coho												
Winter Steelhead	/inter Steelhead											
Summer Steelhead	-											











Method & Gear Type	
Hook location	
Hook Size/Type/Barb	6
Fight Time	
Handling Time	
Species	-
Sex	
Fork-length	
Angler Exp.	
Fish Condition	
Knotted vs. Knotless nets	
Water temp	





Boat Angling

Bank Angling









Hooking Locations





Results

- 1. Spring **Chinook** experienced **3.6-10%** C&R mortality based on gear and hook type
- 2. C&R mortality for **Coho** was **<1%** regardless of gear or hook type
- 3. Small benefit of barbless hooks for Chinook and Coho Salmon
- 4. Steelhead recaptured at a higher rate when barbed hooks used
- 5. Higher landing rate for barbed v. barbless hooks













ROUNT HOOD ENVIRONMENTAL



- Radio-telemetry study
- Tagged angled Spring Chinook
- Tracked until onset of spawning
- C&R mortality rate of 12%
- Results similar to 10% used in CRB recreational fisheries

ARTICLE

Postrelease mortality of spring Chinook Salmon from a mark-selective recreational fishery in the Yakima River, Washington

Anthony L. Fritts | Gabriel M. Temple | Cade Lillquist | Dan Rawding

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, Washington, USA

Correspondence Anthony L. Fritts. Email: anthony.fritts@dfw.wa.gov

Abstract

Objective: Fishery managers often implement mark-selective fishing regulations that provide harvest opportunity on abundant hatchery salmon populations while requiring release of at-risk natural-origin populations. However, implementing these decisions requires fisheries managers to account for postrelease mortality of any natural-origin fish caught and released by anglers, which can be variable and is unknown for spring Chinook Salmon *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha* recreational fisheries in eastern Washington. Therefore, the objective of this study was to estimate and examine the factors affecting, the postrelease mortality of spring Chinook Salmon caught and released from an inland recreational mark–selective fishery in the Yakima River, Washington.

Methods: We conducted a 2-year paired control and treatment radio-telemetry study using 171 treatment fish caught and released during a recreational fishery and 194 control fish captured in a nearby fishway. Subjects were subsequently tracked throughout the summer, and postrelease mortality was estimated on September 1. Stepwise logistic regression was used to analyze potential explanatory variables recorded at the time of capture.

Result: The estimated postrelease mortality of these fish was 12% (95% CI = 2-23%) just prior to the onset of spawning. We also inferred using logistic regression that anatomical hook location explained most of the variation in mortality rates of angled fish.

Conclusion: Our estimate of postrelease mortality is similar to estimates derived in other studies for recreational freshwater Chinook Salmon fisheries as well as the current 10% rate used to manage Columbia River spring Chinook Salmon recreational fisheries.

KEYWORDS

Chinook Salmon, fisheries, hooking mortality, mark-selective fishery

INTRODUCTION

and U.S. Census Bureau 2016). However, fisheries opportunities have decreased as populations of natural-origin





- Mark-recapture study design
- Tagged Steelhead at downstream trap
- Recaptured in sport fishery
- C&R mortality rate of 1.6%
- Used to inform impact rate of sport fishery on wild fish

FEATURE ARTICLE

Encounter rates and catch-and-release mortality of steelhead in the Snake River basin

William J. Lubenau¹ | Timothy R. Johnson² | Brett J. Bowersox³ | Timothy Copeland⁴ | Joshua L. McCormick⁵ | Michael C. Quist⁶

¹Idaho Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho, USA ²University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho,

USA ³Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Lewiston, Idaho, USA

⁴Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise, Idaho, USA ⁵Idaho Department of Fish and Game,

Nampa, Idaho, USA ⁶U.S. Geological Survey, Idaho Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho, USA

Correspondence William J. Lubenau Email: will.lubenau@idfg.idaho.gov

Funding information Pacific Coastal Salmonid Recovery Fund

Abstract

Objective: The potential influence (i.e., impact rate) of catch-and-release fisheries on wild steelhead Oncorhynchus mykiss is poorly understood and is a function of the abundance of wild fish, how many fish are encountered by anglers (i.e., encounter rate), and the mortality of fish that are caught and released. In Idaho, estimates of wild steelhead encounter rates have been derived using the number of wild and hatchery steelhead passing Lower Granite Dam, the number of hatchery steelhead harvested, and the number of hatchery steelhead caught and released. The method includes assumptions that hatchery and wild steelhead have equal encounter rates and catch-and-release mortality is 5% for wild steelhead. Here, we investigated wild and hatchery steelhead encounter rates by anglers, estimated catch-and-release mortality, and concatenated both aspects to examine how existing recreational steelhead fisheries influence wild steelhead mortality. Methods: We sampled, tagged, and released 1,251 spawn-year 2020 (SY2020) and 1,956 spawn-year 2021 (SY2021) adult steelhead at Lower Granite Dam with T-bar anchor tags and passive integrated transponder (PIT) tags to estimate steelhead encounter rates and catch-and-release mortality. Differences in survival of caught steelhead and those not reported as caught were evaluated using detections at various locations (e.g., PIT arrays, weirs).

Result: Estimated encounter rates were 43.7% (95% credible interval; 28.2%, 100.0%) for wild fish and 46.7% (29.6%, 100.0%) for adipose-clipped fish in SY2020. In SY2021, encounter rates were 47.2% (32.4%, 100.0%) for wild fish and 52.3% (37.1%, 100.0%) for adipose-clipped fish. Based on detections of caught fish and those not reported as caught, catch-and-release mortality of wild steelhead was estimated to be 1.6% (0.0%, 5.2%). Wild steelhead impact rates were 0.7% (0.0%, 2.7%) in SY2020 and 0.7% (0.0%, 2.8%) in SY2021. **Conclusion:** Estimated rates of impact on wild steelhead were consistent and low across years despite major differences in the structure of the fisheries. Our results suggest assuming that encounter rates are equal between hatchery and wild steelhead, and that steelhead catch-and-release mortality is 5%, will likely lead to a conservative estimate of the wild steelhead impact occurring from catch-and-release fisheries.

K E Y W O R D S fisheries, management, tags and tagging









Hooking Mortality Metadatabase

Terminal gear type	Hook type (all barbed)	Number of hooks	Hook size	Number of fish caught
Prawn ^a	Single	1	4/0, 5/0	82
		2	4/0-4/0, 3/0-5/0	110
Salmon eggs	Single	1	4/0, 5/0	203
Spinner	Single	1	3/0, 6/0	12
	Treble	1	2, 1/0, 2/0	140
Plug	Single	1	2/0, 3/0	17
		2	2/0-2/0	1
	Treble	1	3, 2, 1/0	34
		2	5-5, 4-4, 3-3, 2-2, 1-1, 5-3, 4-3, 1/0-1/0	165
Wobbler	Single	1	3/0	62
	Treble	1	1, 2	43

Fate number tagged	Hate	chery	Wild								
and length	Females	Males	Females	Males							
		19	99								
Died or regurgitated tag	0	1	0	0							
Killed by angler	1	5	0	1							
Spawned and died	2	3	4	7							
Spawned and kelted	12	6	22	5							
Unknown	0	1	1	1 ^a							
Total number tagged	15	16	27	14							
Fork length (cm)	74.3 ± 1.6	75.4 ± 1.6	75.1 ± 0.9	82.0 ± 2.							
	2000										
Died or regurgitated tag	3	0	3	3							
Killed by angler	24	5	0	2							
Spawned and died	8	1	6	10							
Spawned and kelted	21	8	37	18							
Unknown	0	0	0	5 ^a							
Total number tagged	56	14	46	38							
Fork length (cm)	70.0 ± 0.9	68.2 ± 1.3	73.6 ± 0.9	$73.4 \pm 1.$							

Year		Captured		Reco	vered		Died			
	Female	Male	Total	Female	Male	Female	Male	Total	probability	Р
1996	461	351	812	4,416	3,501	6	1	7	0.10	0.15
1997	406	333	739	2,284	1,868	4	4	8	0.26	1.00
1998	89	60	149	210	199	0	1	1	0.40	0.40
1999	585	307	892	1,784	1,143	6	2	8	0.26	0.72
2000	326	221	547	2,722	1,713	2	1	3	0.43	1.00
2001	341	219	560	2,703	1,830	2	3	5	0.22	0.38
2002	532	403	935	3,341	2,436	9	2	11	0.06	0.13
Total	2,740	1,894	4,634	17,460	12,690	29 (1%)	14 (1%)	43 (1%)		









File	Home C	Create	External Data D	atabase Tools Help		u want to do															
5.	NE	ŕ	X Cut	Ascending	7 Selection ~	🕞 🗔 New	\sum Totals	🕥 🔩 Replace	C-R-C][11											
Ċ.	DF				Advanced ~	LC In Save	abc Spelling	→ Go To ×	Calibri		= = =	<u></u> >1									
	View	Paste	Course to Deliverate	Filter Ad Damage Said	Tanala Eilten	efresh	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Find Salaatu	в I <u>U</u>	<u>A</u> • <u>@</u> ~	🖄 🖌 🗏 🗏	= = 2 ·	Ⅲ ~								
Undo	Viewe		Cliphoard	Z ^{er} Kemove Soft	ि loggle Fliter	All Delete	Iviore *	1/2 Select ~		Test	Formatting										~
	VIEWS	•				Recor	us	TING		Text	ronnatting		121								×
All Acc	ess Ob)е (tyr - Fish ID - Car	rod - SpeciesCo	nde - TimeCanti	urc - Handl - Fight]	Time s - Gea	ur - Method	* HookSize		- Hooklocatio -	Barh	 KnottedNet 	AnglerCode -	Tag1 -	Tag? •	Sex -	FL cm v 1	Forr A
Search				10/1/2017 Angling	146 4H		6:56:00		45 B	B	2	Single	LINK	Yes	No	SG1	Tugi	Tugz	JEX		ST
Tables			^	1 10/1/2017 Angling	147 1	СОНО	7:15:00	45	90 B	В	2	Double	UJ	Yes	No	SG1	3178	3179	F	65	ST
🛄 Capt	ure Table			1 10/1/2017 Angling	148 3	СОНО	8:14:00	30	45 B	B	2	Double	U	Yes	No	SG1			F	56 1	ST
Effor	t Table			1 10/1/2017 Angling	, 149 4H	UNK	8:58:00		25 B	В	2	Double	UNK	Yes	No	SG1				1	ST
🛄 Locat	tion Index			1 10/1/2017 Angling	g 150 1	СОНО	9:36:00	50	30 B	В	2	Double	UJ	Yes	No	SG1	3180	3181	J	30 I	ST
Perso	nel Table			1 10/1/2017 Angling	g 151 3	СОНО	10:26:00	35	130 B	P	2/0	Single	В	Yes	No	SB1			M	72 1	ST
Spec	ies List			1 10/1/2017 Angling	g 152 1	SCHK	10:37:00	35	168 B	В	2/0	Single	L	Yes	No	RM	3182	3183	F	79 l	ST
III Curry	w Table			1 10/1/2017 Angling	g 153 3	FCHK	7:30:00		90 B	BT	3/O	Single	н	No	Yes	PC2			M	66 I	ST
Our Sulve	ey lable			1 10/1/2017 Angling	g 154 1	СОНО	7:54:00	50	80 J	CJ	3/O	Single	UJ	Yes	Yes	IC	2771	2772	M	64 I	ST
Queries				1 10/1/2017 Angling	g 155 1	СОНО	9:17:00	156	184 J	CJ	3/O	Single	IM	Yes	Yes	IC	2774	2775	M	69 I	ST
All Ca	aptures Quer	Ŋ		1 10/1/2017 Angling	g 156 1	FCHK	10:09:00	62	394 J	CJ	3/0	Single	UJ	Yes	Yes	IC	3026	3027	M	84 1	ST
Effor	t Query			1 10/1/2017 Angling	g 157 3	FCHK	11:30:00	20	184 B	BT	3/0	Single	S	No	Yes	AC1			F	76 I	ST
Fish	ID Query			1 10/1/2017 Angling	g 158 1	FCHK	12:38:00	120	150 B	BT	3/0	Single	S	No	Yes	IC	3028	3029	М	66 I	ST
Uniq	ue Capture C	Query		1 10/1/2017 Angling	g 159 3	FCHK	13:08:00		169 B	BT	3/0	Single	U	No	Yes	IC			M	71 l'	ST
Forms			~	2 10/10/2017 Angling	g 210 1	СОНО	7:40:00	152	25 B	В	2/0	Single	S	Yes	No	UA3	3140	3141	J	42 I	ST
🔳 Capt	ure Table sul	bform		2 10/10/2017 Angling	g 211 3	FCHK	8:25:00		300 B	В	2/0	Single	IM	No	No	UA3				l.	ST
Effor	t Ouerv subf	orm		2 10/10/2017 Angling	g 212 4H	FCHK	8:45:00		180 B	В	2/0	Single	UNK	Yes	No	UA3				P	ST
ES Effor	t Table subfr	0.000		2 10/10/2017 Angling	g 213 3	FCHK	9:30:00	30	300 B	В	2/0	Single	UJ	Yes	No	UA1			F	Ľ	ST
		JIII		2 10/10/2017 Angling	g 214 3	FCHK	10:24:00	30	270 B	В	2/0	Single	UJ	No	No	UA3			F	!	ST
Entry	Form			2 10/10/2017 Angling	g 215 1	СОНО	10:41:00	75	10 B	BT	2/0	Single	U	No	No	IC	3143	3144	J	33 1	ST
😑 Fish	ID Search			2 10/10/2017 Angling	g 216 1	СОНО	11:45:00	135	45 B	B	2/0	Single	UJ	No	No	UA3	3145	3175	F	61 1	ST
				2 10/10/2017 Angling	g 217 1	СОНО	12:20:00	93	42 B	B	2/0	Single	H	No	No	IC	3173	3174	F	57 1	ST
				2 10/10/2017 Angling	g 218 1	СОНО	13:50:00	78	205 F	В	4	Single	OM	Yes	No	IC	3171	3172	M	61 1	ST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	g 219 4	FCHK	7:53:00	54	412 B	В	2/0	Single	UNK	NO	No	IC DW	21.00	2170	M	I	51
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	220 1	FCHK	8:20:00	54	180 B	B	2/0	Single	01	NO	No	BVV	3169	31/0	F	69 1	51
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	221 1	СОНО	9:57:00	140	30 F	B	4	Single	OM	Yes	No	IC DW/	3107	3108	J	40 1	51
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	222 1	COHO	10:21:00	70	50 F	B	4	Single	B	Yes	No	BVV	3105	3100	J	33 1	51
				2 10/11/2017 Angling	223 1	СОНО	10:34:00	51	00 F	B	4	Single		Yes	No	PM/	3103	3104	J	43 1	ST CT
				2 10/11/2017 Angling	224 5 7 225 2	COHO	10.41.00		40 J	CL	2/0	Single	P	Vos	No	IC			M	50 L	ST
				2 10/11/2017 Angling	225 5 7 225 1	COHO	11.17.00	191	45 1	CI	2/0	Single	IM	Vos	No	R\A/	2161	2162	M	69 1	ST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	220 1	СОНО	12:36:00	101	45 J	B	2/0	Single		Voc	No	IC	5101	5102	F	65 1	ST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	7 228 3	соно	12:30:00		50 B	B	2/0	Single	UI UI	Yes	No	BW/			F	65 1	ST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	7 229 4H	соно	12:49:00		120 1	CG	2/0	Treble	UNK	Yes	No	IC			M	1	ST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	220 411	соно	13:02:00		72	CL	3/0	Single	UI	Yes	No	BW			M	75 1	ST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	7 231 1	соно	13:11:00	71	32 1	CI	3/0	Single	UI	Yes	No	IC	3159	3160	F	69 1	ST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	232 4H	соно	14:12:00		15 B	B	2/0	Single	UNK	No	No	BW		5200		55 1	ST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	233 1	соно	14:20:00	180	70 B	В	2/0	Single	S	No	No	IC	3156	3158	F	68 1	ST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	234 1	соно	14:28:00	94	25 B	D	2/0	Single	В	Yes	No	BW	3154	3155	J	40 1	ST 🔻
			Record: I	1 of 10649 ► ► ► ►	Jnfiltered Search			1 - 1		1-			(-								Þ
Unique ID	for survey da	te and ty	pe (different survey ty	pes may occur on same day)															Num Lock	SQL SQL	



File	Home	Create	External Data	Database Tools Help		vant to do														
5-	NE	ŕ	X Cut	Ascending	Selection ~	🖌 🗔 New	\sum Totals	C G Replace	Calleri											
Ċ.	DF				Advanced ~	C 🗟 Save	abc Spelling	→ Go To ×	Calibri	• <u> </u>		<u>~- ~-</u> ?¶	~							
	View	Paste		Filter Av Descending	Tanala Eilten All	esh V Delete w	Marra vi	Find Selector	в I <u>U</u>	<u>A</u> ~ <u>@</u> ~	💁 🖌 📃 🗏									
Undo	Viewe		Gliphoard	Z ^{er} Kemove Sort	ि loggie Fliter All	Percerde	Iviore *	K≱ Select ~		Tout	Formatting		E							~
	views					Records		TING		Text	ronnattnig		121							×
All Acc	ess Ot	oje			tvr - Fish ID - Canco	d + SpeciesCode	▼ TimeCanti	ire - Handl - FightTi	me s y Gear	• • Method	HookSize		+ Hooklocatio +	Barb	 KnottedNet 	AnglerCode -	Tag1 -	Tag2 -	Sex - F	l cm 🔹 Terr 🛦
Search				1 10/1/2017 Angling	146 4H	UNK	6:56:00	in indiana right i	45 B	B	2	Single	UNK	Yes	No	SG1	Tugi	1052	JCX . I	IST
Tables			^	1 10/1/2017 Angling	147 1	соно	7:15:00	45	90 B	B	2	Double	UJ	Yes	No	SG1	3178	3179	F	65 IST
🛄 Captu	ure Table			1 10/1/2017 Angling	148 3	СОНО	8:14:00	30	45 B	B	2	Double	U	Yes	No	SG1			F	56 IST
Effort	t Table			1 10/1/2017 Angling	149 4H	UNK	8:58:00		25 B	В	2	Double	UNK	Yes	No	SG1				IST
🛄 Locat	ion Index			1 10/1/2017 Angling	150 1	СОНО	9:36:00	50	30 B	В	2	Double	UJ	Yes	No	SG1	3180	3181	J	30 IST
Perso	nel Table			1 10/1/2017 Angling	151 3	СОНО	10:26:00	35	130 B	P	2/0	Single	В	Yes	No	SB1			M	72 IST
Speci	es List			1 10/1/2017 Angling	152 1	SCHK	10:37:00	35	168 B	В	2/0	Single	L	Yes	No	RM	3182	3183	F	79 IST
	w Tabla			1 10/1/2017 Angling	153 3	FCHK	7:30:00		90 B	BT	3/O	Single	Н	No	Yes	PC2			M	66 IST
Querier	yrabie			1 10/1/2017 Angling	154 1	СОНО	7:54:00	50	80 J	CJ	3/0	Single	UJ	Yes	Yes	IC	2771	2772	Μ	64 IST
	nturas Oua			1 10/1/2017 Angling	155 1	СОНО	9:17:00	156	184 J	CJ	3/0	Single	IM	Yes	Yes	IC	2774	2775	M	69 IST
All Ca	iptures Que	ry		1 10/1/2017 Angling	156 1	FCHK	10:09:00	62	394 J	CJ	3/0	Single	UJ	Yes	Yes	IC	3026	3027	М	84 IST
Effort	t Query			1 10/1/2017 Angling	157 3	FCHK	11:30:00	20	184 B	BT	3/0	Single	S	No	Yes	AC1			F	76 IST
Fish I	D Query			1 10/1/2017 Angling	158 1	FCHK	12:38:00	120	150 B	BT	3/0	Single	S	No	Yes	IC	3028	3029	Μ	66 IST
🗐 Uniqu	ue Capture (Query		1 10/1/2017 Angling	159 3	FCHK	13:08:00		169 B	BT	3/0	Single	U	No	Yes	IC			M	71 IST
Forms			^	2 10/10/2017 Angling	210 1	СОНО	7:40:00	152	25 B	B	2/0	Single	S	Yes	No	UA3	3140	3141	l	42 IST
E Captu	ure Table su	bform		2 10/10/2017 Angling	211 3	FCHK	8:25:00		300 B	В	2/0	Single	IM	No	No	UA3				IST
Effor	t Query subf	form		2 10/10/2017 Angling	212 4H	FCHK	8:45:00		180 B	B	2/0	Single	UNK	Yes	No	UA3			-	IST
E Effor	Table subf	orm		2 10/10/2017 Angling	213 3	FCHK	9:30:00	30	300 B	B	2/0	Single	UJ	Yes	No	UA1			F	IST
Enter	Eerm	01111		2 10/10/2017 Angling	214 3	FCHK	10:24:00	30	270 B	B	2/0	Single	UJ	No	No	UA3	04.40	24.44	F	IST
Chuy	Form			2 10/10/2017 Angling	215 1	COHO	10:41:00	/5	10 B	BI	2/0	Single		NO	NO		3143	3144	J	33 IST
== Fish I	D Search			2 10/10/2017 Angling	210 1	COHO	11:45:00	135	45 B	B	2/0	Single	U	NO	NO	UA3	3145	31/5	F	61 IST
				2 10/10/2017 Angling	21/1	COHO	12:20:00	70	42 B	B	2/0	Single	H	NO	No		31/3	31/4		57 151
				2 10/10/2017 Angling 2 10/11/2017 Angling	210 1	ECHK	7:52:00	70	203 F	D	4	Single		No	No		51/1	5172	M	01 131
				2 10/11/2017 Angling	215 4	FCHK	8.20.00	54	412 B	B	2/0	Single		No	No	RW/	3169	3170	F	131 69 IST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling 3 10/11/2017 Angling	220 1	СОНО	9:57:00	140	30 E	B	2/0	Single	OM	Vos	No	IC	3167	3168	1	40 IST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	221 1	соно	10:21:00	70	50 F	B	4	Single	B	Ves	No	BW/	3165	3166	1	33 IST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	223 1	соно	10:34:00	51	60 F	B	4	Single	OM	Yes	No	IC	3163	3164	1	43 IST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	224 3	соно	10:41:00		40 J	CJ	2/0	Single	IM	Yes	No	BW			M	70 IST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling								gle	В	Yes	No	IC			м	60 IST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling		and the second s	1.4			104	- AO	gle	IM	Yes	No	BW	3161	3162	Μ	69 IST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	- Rec	ord	1.4		OT	100	<u>149</u>	gle	L	Yes	No	IC			F	65 IST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	1.55							gle	UJ	Yes	No	BW			F	65 IST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	229 4H	соно	12:49:00		120 L	CG	2	Treble	UNK	Yes	No	IC			Μ	IST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	230 3	СОНО	13:02:00		72 J	CJ	3/O	Single	UJ	Yes	No	BW			М	75 IST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	231 1	СОНО	13:11:00	71	32 J	CJ	3/O	Single	UJ	Yes	No	IC	3159	3160	F	69 IST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	232 4H	СОНО	14:12:00		15 B	В	2/0	Single	UNK	No	No	BW				IST
				3 10/11/2017 Angling	233 1	СОНО	14:20:00	180	70 B	В	2/0	Single	S	No	No	IC	3156	3158	F	68 IST
				10/11/2017 Angling	234 1	СОНО	14:28:00	94	25 B	D	2/0	Single	В	Yes	No	BW	3154	3155	1	40 IST 💌
			Record: 14	🔄 1 of 10649 🕨 🕨 🜬 🦙 U	Infiltered Search	<														
Unique ID f	or survey da	ate and ty	ype (different survey ty	pes may occur on same day)															Num Lock	E SQL





Data Sets

- Cowlitz River Steelhead/Chinook/Coho (WA)
- Yakima River Chinook (WA)
- Wind River Steelhead (WA)
- Clearwater River Steelhead (ID)
- Snake River Steelhead (ID)
- Willamette River Chinook (OR)
- Vedder-Chilliwack River Steelhead (BC)
- Nicola River Chinook (BC)
- Nushagak River Chinook (AK)
- Kenai River Chinook (AK)
- Little Susitna River Steelhead (AK)







Insights and Benefits

- **1.** Larger sample sizes for multiple species
- 2. Greater spatial and temporal coverage
- 3. Publicly available
- 4. Continued research
- 5. Identify data gaps
- 6. Archive data







