Should I Stay or Should I Go Now?

Resident and migratory life history behaviors in Willamette Valley cutthroat trout (Oncorhynchus clarkii) in the Long Tom River Basin



Jed Kaul Long Tom Watershed Council



Karen Hans ODFW STEP Program



What I'll cover today:

- Overview of the Long Tom River Watershed and its Cutthroat Trout Populations
- Study Design and Introduction
- Preliminary Results





Channelization of lower mainstem and construction of three drop structures, 1950's



Two primary life history patterns of upper Willamette River cutthroat trout:

Type 1: Resident fish living in upstream tributary reaches;

Type 2: Trout which are largely migratory; hatching and rearing in small to medium sized tributaries before migrating downstream to the Willamette or its largest tributaries – like the Long Tom River - to mature, then returning to the small tributaries to spawn.

Questions we hope this study will answer:

What parts of the stream network are cutthroat using at different times of the year?

What are growth and age patterns?

GOAL: To help prioritize restoration projects and inform management of the population

Cutthroat trout of the Long Tom Watershed



"Despite unfavorable summer water temperatures... these fish have adapted to survive in the system." (from Hutchinson's Fish and Wildlife Resources of the upper Willamette basin and their water requirements, 1966)

Study Methodology

- Three primary fish collection methods:
 - \circ Hoop or box traps
 - November May;
 - Backpack E-fishing/seining;
 - Monroe Dam ladder trap;
- 23mm HDX PIT tags;
- PIT tag antenna detection stations installed;
- Recapture with hoop or box traps







The fish where anesthetized, measured, and a half duplex PIT tag is inserted in the abdominal cavity and a fin clip was taken.



Most all the data was collected by volunteers.





Traps

- SF Ferguson Creek
- Owens Creek
- Turnbow Creek
- Jones Creek
- Schafer Creek
- Rattlesnake Creek
- Monroe Dam
- Amazon Creek

E Fish/Seine

- Ferguson Creek
- SF Ferguson Creek
- Rattlesnake Creek
- Owens Creek
- Eber Creek
- Monroe Dam

Array Stations

- Davidson Creek
- Ferguson Creek
- SF Ferguson Creek
- Bear Creek
- Owens Creek
- Rattlesnake Creek

Trap and Array Station Locations



Preliminary Results

- 598 cutthroat >120mm where PIT tagged between 12/9/2010 and 5/21/2015 (>100 mm first year);
- Of these fish 100 have been recaptured at least one time – 16.7%;
- Many fish captured multiple times

 One fish captured 12 times;
- 110 were detected at array stations -18.4%;
- 17 fish have been recaptured and detected at an array station.

Some Fish Moved; Some Fish Didn't



Schafer Creek to Ferguson Creek - 14 km Rattlesnake Creek to Ferguson Creek - 11.4 Rattlesnake Creek to Davidson Creek - 17.4 km SF Ferguson Creek to Davidson Creek - 4.3 km Schafer Creek to Bear Creek - 20 km Monroe Dam to Bear Creek to Owens Creek - 27 km

But....

Schafer/Rattlesnake Creeks to Ferguson – over or around Stroda Drop Structure Scafer Creek or Monroe Dam to Bear over or around Stroda and Ferguson Drop Structures

Fish That Moved...



- 12 fish moved fromSchafer Ck to FergusonCk at Moffetts arraystation;
- 13 fish moved from Rattlesnake Creek to Moffetts array station;
- 3 fish moved from
 Rattlesnake Creek to
 Davidson Creek array station;
- One fish was tagged at SF Ferguson trap and detected at Moffetts array station on the same day (12/16/10).

Fish That Moved Farther



176786086: Tagged at Schafer Creek 3/11/13 Detected at Bear Creek 7/2-10/2013 177958775: Tagged 10/4/13 at Monroe Dam; Sighted at Bear Ck 11/20/13 & 12/2-4/13; Sighted at Owens Ck 1/30/14 2/23/14 – 3/20/14 Migrated 26.5 km

Fish That Didn't Move (Much)

- Of the 42 cutthroat trout tagged at the SF Ferguson trap that where recaptured or detected at an array station, all in the Ferguson Creek Basin;
 - 176785928 Captured at SF Ferguson trap 11/8/11, detected 5/12/13 at Ferguson Creek Array at Moffetts
 - 176785797 Captured at SF Ferguson trap 4/2/11, detected at Davidson Creek Array 1/18/12
- Fish also showed an affinity to Owens Creek at the trap and array station, and to Rattlesnake Creek;
 - 176786044 Captured at Rattlesnake Creek in 2012- 2013 and 2013-2014 trapping seasons;
- Fish often were recaptured or detected over several months at a particular location.

Fish That Moved to Where They Were Not Supposed to Be...

- 176785708
 - Tagged Ferguson Creek at Moffetts 1/21/11
 - Detected at Ferguson Creek Moffetts 8/18/11
- 176786086
 - Tagged at Schafer Creek 3/11/13
 - Detected at Bear Creek 7/2-10/2013
- 176786026
 - Tagged at Jones Creek 4/24/12
 - Detected at Bear Creek 9/30/2013 (1 1/2 years later)

Fish That Moved to Where They Were Not Supposed to Be...Rattlesnake Creek



360 mm







142 Cutthroat

• 128 >120 mm

And what about those Rattlesnake Creek fish?



We think the fish are migrating into the creek to spawn. Gravid females and males in spawning colors were routinely captured in the trap. Also a 45 mm cutthroat trout was captured in late April 2015 at the trap. A fish this small would be unlikely to traverse upstream from the Long Tom River, implying it was a 0+ fry produced in the creek that season.

There were also several fish captured in the 95-110 mm range; these fish are perplexing. Rattlesnake Creek dries out most summers in the lower reaches. However there maybe pools in the upper reaches of the creek that maintain suitable for cutthroat trout. Whether these smaller fish were able to negotiate the creek upstream from the Long Tom River, or remained in Rattlesnake Creek throughout the year is unknown.

And what about those Schafer Creek fish?

- We speculate the cutthroat trout are using Schafer Creek for rearing and refuge rather than spawning.
- Little, if any, spawning habitat is located upstream
- Fish recaptured in Schafer Creek showed significant growth: 136 -195 mm in 3 months (2 in) 148 – 202 mm in 3 months (2 in) 143 – 156 mm in 3 weeks (¹/₂ in)



Some Fish Grew; Some Fish Didn't



Some Fish Grew; Some Fish Didn't

SF Ferguson Creek



But...When Fish Did Grow, They Grew at an Consistent Rate

Fish	Date	Fk Lnth	Date	Fk Lnth	Growth MM	Weeks	MM/ Wks	Watershed
		MM		MM				
176785737	11/10/11	170	5/22/12	208	38	26	1.5	SF Ferguson Ck
177958709	12/4/14	186	2/2/15	198	12	8	1.5	SF Ferguson CK
176786208	1/10/12	165	3/20/12	180	15	9	1.6	Turnbow Ck
176786056	12/26/12	152	3/11/13	173	21	11	1.9	Schafer Ck
176786012	3/20/12	158	5/15/12	168	10	7	1.4	Owens Ck

Unless...

Fish	Date	Fk Lnth MM	Date	Fk Lnth MM	Growth MM	Weeks	MM/ Wks	Watershed
176785975	1/31/12	120	3/27/12	151	31	8	3.9	Jones Ck (Bear Ck WS)
177958721	2/5/15	183	2/26/15	195	12	3	4	Schafer Ck
176786047	12/7/12	118	12/31/12	130	12	3	4	Rattlesnake Ck

And then there's 176785950...

• SF Ferguson Ck 2012

Date	Fk Lnth MM	Growth MM	Weeks MM	MM / Wks	Wks from 1/7	MM / Wks
1/7	130					
2/11	145	15	3	3		
3/10	147	2	4	0.5		
4/12	158	11	4	2.75		
4/28	158	0	2	0	13	2.15

And 176785737...

• SF Ferguson Ck 2011 - 2012

Date	Fk Lnth MM	Growth MM	Weeks MM	MM / Wks	N	Date	Fk Lnth MM	Growth MM	Weeks MM	MM / Wks
4/14/11	162				1/2	4/10/12	205	7	2	3.5
5/17/11	164	2	4	0.5		5/1/12	205	0	3	0.0
11/10/11	170	6	24	0.25		5/19/12	210	5	3	1.67
11/19/11	172	2	1.5	1.3	-					
1/14/12	178	6	8	0.75						
3/13/12	198	20	8	2.5						
3/27/12	198	0	2	0.0	100					

Future Analysis

- Analyze in MARK for more recapture/resight information such as survival and population;
- Do a more detailed statistical analysis of growth data;
- Consider temperature and flow in relationship to movement and growth;
- Analyze fin clips for genetic information on family relationships and life history.

Thank you!



ODFW R&E Program



Oregon **RFID**



ODFW STEP Program



US Army Corps of Engineers®

Willamette Valley Project Fisheries Program Dozens of LTWC Volunteers, Watershed Residents, and Technical Advisors!

Meyer Memorial Trust